

FISCAL NOTE

Bill #: SB0372

Title: Revise local government competitive advertised bidding amounts

Primary Sponsor: Laible, R

Status: As Introduced

Sponsor signature	Date	Chuck Swysgood, Budget Director	Date
-------------------	------	---------------------------------	------

Fiscal Summary

	<u>FY 2004 Difference</u>	<u>FY 2005 Difference</u>
Expenditures:		
General Fund	\$0	\$0
Revenue:		
General Fund	\$0	\$0
Net Impact on General Fund Balance:	\$0	\$0

- | | |
|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Significant Local Gov. Impact | <input type="checkbox"/> Technical Concerns |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Included in the Executive Budget | <input type="checkbox"/> Significant Long-Term Impacts |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Dedicated Revenue Form Attached | <input type="checkbox"/> Needs to be included in HB 2 |

Fiscal Analysis

ASSUMPTIONS:

1. Construction management services means architectural and engineering services and other related services.
2. The items included in the definition of routine maintenance are already being performed by the counties and cities and have not been subject to bidding in the past. If counties and cities do not perform them, they would not be subject to bidding requirements if performed under contract.
3. There is no fiscal impact as a result of this bill.

EFFECT ON COUNTY OR OTHER LOCAL REVENUES OR EXPENDITURES:

No significant impacts on local government revenues or expenditures are anticipated as a result of this legislation. There may be some nominal increase in advertising costs with the inclusion of construction management services in the bidding requirements for both counties and cities. There may also be some nominal savings for cities in that there will be a lesser need to advertise for bids with the increase in the bid requirement threshold from \$25,000 to \$50,000.